RESPONSE

In the Claims

- 1. Claims 4-9, 11, 13-16, 18-26, 28-32, 34-38, 40-48, 55, and 58-70 are pending in the Application.
- 2. Applicant expresses his appreciation for the allowance of claims 18-26, 28, 43, 47, and 48.
- 3. Applicant also expresses his appreciation for the opportunity to meet personally with the Examiner on April 25, 2006.
- 4. Independent claims 30, 34, and 55 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coffee. These claims were discussed during the April 25, 2006 personal interview and among the claim amendments discussed were those indicated herein. As discussed, the tablet in question reacts rapidly and completely to enable the active ingredient to become quickly available and to avoid the respiratory and gastrointestinal systems. Claims 30, 34, and 55 have been so amended and entry by the Examiner is respectfully requested. Additionally, claim 55 has been amended to describe the tablet formation and shaped regions and entry by the Examiner is respectfully requested. Accordingly, Applicant submits that claims 30, 34, and 55 as submitted herein, and their dependent claims 31, 32, 4-9, 11, 13-16, 29, and 58-60, respectively, distinguish patentably over Coffee.
- 5. Claims 58 and 59, which depend from claim 55, have been amended to comport with the amendment to claim 55 and entry by the Examiner is respectfully requested.
- 6. Claim 67 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coffee. This claim, too, was discussed during the April 25, 2006 personal interview and among the claim amendments discussed were those indicated herein. As discussed, the support surface is charged with sufficient definition to form a defined, for example tablet-shaped region. Claim

Atty. Doc. 13401

67 has been so amended and entry by the Examiner is respectfully requested. Accordingly,

Applicant submits that claim 67 as submitted herein, and its dependent claims 68-70 distinguish

patentably over Coffee.

Closure

1. The undersigned Attorney for Applicants has made a good faith effort to meet

the concerns expressed by the Examiner(s) in the Office Action and in the interview. If the

Examiner still has some issues with the Application, and has any suggestions as to how to

address them, the Examiner is invited to call the Attorney for Applicant at the phone number

below, so that those issues may be resolved.

2. This is a request for a one-month extension of time to respond to the Office

Action dated January 11, 2006. The Shortened Statutory Period was set to expire April 11, 2006.

Thus, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.27(a) and 1.17(a)(1), \$60.00 is due. Applicant encloses

herewith a Credit Card Authorization Form PTO-2038 for \$60.00 for this additional fee.

3. Applicants submit that this Application is now in condition for further

favorable consideration, culminating in allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

William B. Richards, Esq.

Reg. No. 44,301

Attorney for Applicant

614/933-8951